Course Review CMPUT 655 Fall 2020

Comments

- Practice midterm and practice final questions available
- Midterm will be on eClass, during class, for 1 hour 20 minutes
 - I'll show you the format with a small practice midterm before Monday
- If you want more exercise questions, see the worksheets given in CMPUT 397:
 - Schedule: https://marthawhite.github.io/rlcourse/schedule.html
 - e.g., https://marthawhite.github.io/rlcourse/docs/w-c1m3.pdf

Course Overview









C1M1: Sequential Decision-Making

- Video 1: The K-Armed Bandit Problem
- Video 2: Estimating Action Values
 - sample-average, greedy action-selection, exploration-exploitation dilemma
- Video 3: Estimating Action Values Incrementally
- Video 4-6: The Exploration-Exploitation Trade-off and Exploration Methods
 - epsilon-greedy, optimistic initial values, upper confidence bounds



C1M2: MDPs

- Video 1: Markov Decision Processes
- Video 2: Examples of MDPs
- Video 3: The Goal of Reinforcement Learning (and reward hypothesis)
- Video 4: Continuing Tasks
- Video 5: Examples of Episodic Tasks and Continuing Tasks

C1M3: Value Functions and **Bellman Equations**

- Video 1: Policies (stochastic and deterministic)
- Video 2: Value Functions
- Video 3: Bellman Equation Derivation
- Video 4: Why Bellman Equations?
- Video 5: Optimal Policies
- Video 6: Using Optimal Value Functions to get Optimal Policies

Selt-test: C1M3

 Is the following policy valid for this MDP (i.e. does if fit our definition of a policy): Choose left for five steps, then right for five steps, then left for five steps, and so on? Explain your answer.





C1M4: Dynamic Programming

- Video 1: Policy Evaluation vs. Control
- Video 2: Iterative Policy Evaluation (to compute a value function)
- Video 3: Policy Improvement
 - policy improvement theorem, using value functions to produce a better policy
- Video 4: Policy Iteration (to compute an optimal policy)
- Video 5: Flexibility of the Policy Iteration Framework (and GPI)
- Video 6: Efficiency of Dynamic Programming (and bootstrapping)

C1M4: Dynamic Programming

- Video 1: Policy Evaluation vs. Control
- Video 2: Iterative Policy Evaluation (to compute a value function)
- Video 3: Policy Improvement
 - policy improvement theorem, using value functions to produce a better policy
- Video 4: Policy Iteration (to compute an optimal policy)
- Video 5: Flexibility of the Policy Iteration Framework (and GPI)
- Video 6: Efficiency of Dynamic Programming (and bootstrapping)

Policy Improvement Result

 $v_{\pi}(s) \leq q_{\pi}(s, \pi'(s))$ $= \mathbb{E}[R_{t+1} + \gamma v_{\pi}(S_{t+1}) \mid S$ $= \mathbb{E}_{\pi'}[R_{t+1} + \gamma v_{\pi}(S_{t+1})]$ $\leq \mathbb{E}_{\pi'}[R_{t+1} + \gamma q_{\pi}(S_{t+1}, \pi'$ $= \mathbb{E}_{\pi'} [R_{t+1} + \gamma \mathbb{E} [R_{t+2} + \gamma \mathbb{E}]R_{t+2} + \gamma \mathbb{E}]R_{t+2} + \gamma \mathbb{E} [R_{t+2} + \gamma \mathbb{E}]R_{t+2} + \gamma \mathbb{E} [R_{t+2} + \gamma \mathbb{E}]R_{t+2} + \gamma \mathbb{E}]R_{t+2} + \gamma \mathbb{E} [R_{t+2} + \gamma \mathbb{E}]R_{t+2} + \gamma \mathbb{E}]R_{t+2} + \gamma \mathbb{E} [R_{t+2} + \gamma \mathbb{E}]R_{t+2} + \gamma \mathbb{E}]R_{t+2} + \gamma \mathbb{E}]R_{t+2} + \gamma \mathbb{E} [R_{t+2} + \gamma \mathbb{E}]R_{t+2} + \gamma \mathbb{E$ $= \mathbb{E}_{\pi'} \left[R_{t+1} + \gamma R_{t+2} + \gamma^2 \right]$ $\leq \mathbb{E}_{\pi'} [R_{t+1} + \gamma R_{t+2} + \gamma^2]$

 $\leq \mathbb{E}_{\pi'} [R_{t+1} + \gamma R_{t+2} + \gamma^2]$ $= v_{\pi'}(s).$

$$S_{t} = s, A_{t} = \pi'(s)]$$

$$S_{t} = s]$$

$$'(S_{t+1})) \mid S_{t} = s]$$

$$\gamma v_{\pi}(S_{t+2}) \mid S_{t+1}, A_{t+1} = \pi'(S_{t+1})] \mid S_{t} = s]$$

$$v_{\pi}(S_{t+2}) \mid S_{t} = s]$$

$$R_{t+3} + \gamma^{3} v_{\pi}(S_{t+3}) \mid S_{t} = s]$$

$$R_{t+3} + \gamma^3 R_{t+4} + \cdots \mid S_t = s$$
]

Difference between v and q

"Why does the lower golf example (figure 3.3) which is supposed to be optimal have a -2 field over most of the green, where the above example with the putter has that area marked as only -1? Isn't q*() supposed to be optimal? There should be no areas where q*() has a worse result than v putt, right?"



Figure 3.3: A golf example: the state-value function for putting (upper) and the optimal action-value function for using the driver (lower).





C2M1: Monte-Carlo for **Prediction and Control**

- Video 1: What is Monte Carlo?
- Video 2: Using Monte Carlo for Prediction
- Video 3: Using Monte Carlo to Estimate Action-Values
 - discussed importance of maintaining exploration
- Video 4: Using Monte Carlo Methods for Generalized Policy Iteration
- Video 5: Solving the Blackjack Example
- Video 6: Epsilon-Soft Policies (alternative to exploring starts)

Self-test: Exploration in MC

- not when estimating state values?
- Can we use state-values for control in MC, like we did in DP?

• Why did we talk about exploring starts in MC when estimating action-values, but

C2M1: Monte-Carlo for Prediction and Control

- Video 4: Using Monte Carlo Methods for Generalized Policy Iteration
- Video 5: Solving the Blackjack Example
- Video 6: Epsilon-Soft Policies (alternative to exploring starts)
- Video 7: Why Does Off-Policy Learning Matter?
 - utility for exploration, discussed target policies and behavior policies
- Video 8: Importance Sampling
- Video 9: Off-Policy MC Prediction





C2M1: TD for Prediction

- Video 1: What is Temporal Difference Learning?
- Video 2: The Advantages of Temporal Difference Learning
 - advantages of both DP (bootstrapping) and MC (sample-based learning)
- Video 3: Comparing TD and Monte Carlo



 $V(S_t) \leftarrow V(S_t) + o$

Temporal Difference Learning



Simple Monte Carlo

 $V(S_t) \leftarrow V(S_t) + \alpha \Big[G_t - V(S_t) \Big]$



$$\alpha \Big[R_{t+1} + \gamma V(S_{t+1}) - V(S_t) \Big]$$

C2M3: TD for Control

- Video 1: Sarsa: GPI with TD
 - TD, and epsilon-soft policies
- Video 2: Sarsa in the Windy Grid World
- Video 3: What is Q-learning
- Video 4: Q-learning in the Windy Gridworld
- Video 5: How is Q-learning Off-policy?

 Building an algorithm to find near optimal policies: SARSA (State, Action, Reward, Next State, Action). Combining the ideas of *policy evaluation*, *policy improvement*,

Self-test

- What is the target policy for Q-learning?
- What can the behavior policy be?

Self-test

- What is the target policy for Q-learning?
 - are no importance sampling corrections!
- What can the behavior policy be?

 Answer: Q-learning learns about the greedy policy (which eventually becomes) π^*), while **following a different policy** (e.g., ε -greedy). That is off-policy, but there

C2M3: TD for Control

- Video 3: What is Q-learning
- Video 4: Q-learning in the Windy Gridworld
- Video 5: How is Q-learning Off-policy?
- Video 6: Expected SARSA
- Video 7: Expected SARSA in the Cliff World
- Video 8: The Generality of Expected SARSA

Terminology Review

- algorithms
- state-space (\mathcal{S}) is small
- learning step
- with the environment

• TD methods we have learned about are **tabular**, **one-step**, **model-free** learning

• **Tabular:** we store the value function in a table. One entry in the table per value, so each value is stored independently of the others. We are implicitly assuming the

• **One-step**: we update a single state or state-action value on each time-step. Only the value of Q(S,A) from S -- A --->S',R. We never update more than one value per

Model-free: we don't assume access to or make use of a model of the world. All learning is driven by sample experience. Data generated by the agent interacting





- Video 1: What is a Model?
- Video 2: Comparing Sample and Distribution Models
- Video 3: Random Tabular, Q-planning
- Video 4: The Dyna Architecture
- Video 5: The Dyna Algorithm

C2M1: Planning, Learning and Acting



Tabular Dyna-Q





- Video 4: The Dyna Architecture
- Video 5: The Dyna Algorithm
- Video 6: Dyna & Q-learning in a Simple Maze
- Video 7: What if the model is inaccurate?
- Video 8: In-depth with changing environments

C2M4: Planning, Learning and Acting



Terminology Review

- agent's actions: M(S,A) --->S',R
- about epsilon-greedy)
- S' and reward R
- could happen
- real world.

• **Model:** a model of the environment. Anything that can predict how the environment will respond to the

Planning: the computational process that takes the model as input and produces or improves the policy

Sample Model: a model that can produce a possible next state and reward, in agreement with the underlying transition probabilities of the world. We need not store all the probabilities to do this (think

Simulate: sample a transition from the model. Given an S and A, ask the model for a possible next state

• Simulated Experience: samples generated by a sample model. Like dreaming or imagining things that

Real Experience: the states, actions, and rewards that are produced when an agent interacts with the

Search Control: the computational process that selects the state and action in the planning loop







C3M1: Prediction with Approximation

- Video 1: Moving to Parameterized Functions
- Video 2: Generalization and Discrimination (and how we want both)
- Video 3: Framing Value Estimation as Supervised Learning
- Video 4: Value Error
 - role of state distribution in the objective

The Mean Squared Value Error Objective Mean Squared $\sum_{v \in V} \mu(s) [v_{\pi}(s) - \hat{v}(s, \mathbf{w})]^2$ Value Error $\mathcal{V}_{\pi}(S)$ The fraction of time we spend in S when following Value policy π (S, W)



Question: Why didn't we use the Value Error in the tabular setting?



C3M1: Prediction with Approximation

- Video 5: Introducing Gradient Descent
- Video 6: Gradient Monte Carlo for Policy Evaluation
- Video 7: State Aggregation with Monte Carlo
- Video 8: Semi-gradient TD for Policy Evaluation
- Video 9: Comparing TD and MC with State Aggregation
- Video 10: The Linear TD Algorithm
- Video 11: The True Objective for TD

C3M2: Constructing Features

- Video 1: Coarse Coding
- Video 2: Generalization Properties of Coarse Coding
- Video 3: Tile Coding
- Video 4: Using Tile Coding for Prediction

Broadness of generalization



Direction of generalization





C3M2: Constructing Features

- Video 5: What is a Neural Network
- Video 6: Non-linear Approximation with Neural Networks
- Video 7: Deep Neural Networks
- Video 8: How to compute the gradient
- Video 9: Optimization Strategies for NNs
 - initialization, vector stepsizes, momentum

Which will work better on the Random Walk?

- State aggregation?
- Tile Coding?

 \sqrt{VE} averaged over 30 runs

• A NN?



C3M3: Control with Approximation

- Video 1: Episodic Sarsa with Function Approximation
- Video 2: Episodic Sarsa in Mountain Car
- Video 3: Expected Sarsa with Function Approximation
- Video 4: Exploration under Function Approximation
 - difficulties using optimisitic initial values
- Video 5: Average Reward: A New Way of Formulating Control Problems

How Optimism Interacts with Generalization





Single feature

Tile coding

Neural network

Self-test

- We discussed multiple ways to incorporate actions under FA
- Action-dependent features, where we stack state features
 - e.g., tile code input state to get d features, weights are d x |A| size and stateaction features are all zero except in the a location, which has those d features
- How do we incorporate the action in a NN?

C3M4: Policy Gradient

- I will not test you on average reward, nor on policy gradient
- I am skipping this in the review

Let's go through the practice quizzes

