### Course 2, Module 3 Temporal Difference Learning Methods for Control

**CMPUT 397** Fall 2019

Any questions about course admin?



#### • Link for questions:

#### <u>http://www.tricider.com/brainstorming/35B8Mn3NZ5B</u>

#### Review of Course 2, Module 3 TD Control

# Video 1: Sarsa: GPI with TD

- TD, and epsilon-soft policies
- Goals:
  - policies
  - Describe the Sarsa Control algorithm

• Building an algorithm to find near optimal policies: SARSA (State, Action, Reward, Next State, Action). Combining the ideas of policy evaluation, policy improvement,

explain how generalized policy iteration can be used with TD to find improved

# Video 2: Sarsa in the Windy Grid World

- We ran a fun **experiment with Sarsa** on a fancy gridworld
- Goals:
  - Understand how the Sarsa control algorithm operates in an example MDP.
    - the Windy Gridworld
  - Gain experience analyzing the performance of a learning algorithm.
    - understanding the plot of cumulative episodes completed vs steps

# Video 3: What is Q-learning

- Goals:
  - Describe the Q-learning algorithm

• Just the most famous RL algorithm! Similar to SARSA, but learns the optimal policy

• Explain the relationship between Q-learning and the Bellman optimality equations



#### Video 4: Q-learning in the Windy Gridworld

- comparing with SARSA
- Goals:  $\bullet$ 
  - Gain insight into how Q-learning performs in an example MDP  $\bullet$
  - Gain insight into the differences between Q-learning and Sarsa.

• How does Q-learning work in practice? We get some insight with an experiment

# Video 5: How is Q-learning Off-policy?

- sampling corrections!
- Goals:
  - Understand how Q-learning can be off-policy without using importance sampling
  - - SARSA (on-policy learning), can be better!

• Q-learning learns about the greedy policy (which eventually becomes  $\pi^*$ ), while following a different policy  $\varepsilon$ -greedy. That is off-policy, but there are no importance

• Describe how learning on-policy or off-policy might affect performance in control.



## Video 6: Expected SARSA

- policy in its update!
- Goals:
  - explain the Expected Sarsa algorithm.

• A new TD Control method! Uses the probability of each action under the current

### Video 7: Expected SARSA in the Cliff World

- robust to our choice of alpha
- Goals:
  - Describe Expected Sarsa's behaviour in an example MDP.
  - And Empirically compare Expected Sarsa and Sarsa

• Why all the fuss about Expected Sarsa? We find out with an **experiment** in another gridworld: The cliff world. Spoiler: Expected Sarsa learns faster AND is more

#### Video 8: The Generality of **Expected SARSA**

- learning. In addition, the algorithm can be used in different ways
- Goals:
  - Understand how Expected Sarsa can do off-policy learning without using importance sampling
  - Explain how Expected Sarsa generalizes Q-learning

Expected SARSA is pretty neat! It can perform better than either SARSA or Q-

# Terminology Review

- algorithms
- state-space ( $\mathcal{S}$ ) is small
- learning step
- with the environment

• TD methods we have learned about are tabular, one-step, model-free learning

• **Tabular:** we store the value function in a table. One entry in the table per value, so each value is stored independently of the others. We are implicitly assuming the

• **One-step**: we update a single state or state-action value on each time-step. Only the value of Q(S,A) from S -- A --->S',R. We never update more than one value per

• Model-free: we don't assume access to or make use of a model of the world. All learning is driven by sample experience. Data generated by the agent interacting

- and  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . The agent takes actions according to an  $\epsilon$ -greedy with  $\epsilon = 0.1$ .
- (a) the optimal policy:  $q^*(s, a)$ ?
- (b) with state B, and perform the Sarsa update, then update the value of state D.

#### **Deterministic transitions**

$$a = 1, R = +7$$
  
(B)  
 $a = 2, R = 0$ 

1. Consider the following MDP, with three states B, C and D ( $\mathcal{S} = \{B, C, D\}$ ), and 2 actions  $(\mathcal{A} = \{1, 2\})$ , with  $\gamma = 1.0$ . Assume the action values are initialized  $Q(s, a) = 0 \forall s \in \mathcal{S}$ 

What is the optimal policy for this MDP and what are the action-values corresponding to

Imagine the agent experienced a single episode, and the following experience:  $S_0 = B, A_0 =$ 2,  $R_1 = 0, S_1 = D, A_1 = 2, R_2 = 4$ . What are the Sarsa updates during this episode? Start



- (b) with state B, and perform the Sarsa update, then update the value of state D.
- $(\mathbf{C})$ start with state B, and then state D.
- What policy does Q-learning converge to? What policy does Sarsa converge to? (e)

#### **Deterministic transitions**

$$a = 1, R = +1$$
  
(B)  
(B)  
 $a = 2, R = 0$ 

Imagine the agent experienced a single episode, and the following experience:  $S_0 = B, A_0 =$  $2, R_1 = 0, S_1 = D, A_1 = 2, R_2 = 4$ . What are the Sarsa updates during this episode? Start

Using the sample episode above, compute the updates Q-learning would make. Again

(d) Let's consider one more episode:  $S_0 = B, A_0 = 2, R_1 = 0, S_1 = D, A_1 = 1, R_2 = -100.$ What would the Sarsa updates be? And what would the Q-learning updates be?



