1. An agent observes the following two episodes from an MDP,

 $S_0 = 0, A_0 = 1, R_1 = 1, S_1 = 1, A_1 = 1, R_2 = 1$

 $S_0 = 0, A_0 = 0, R_1 = 0, S_1 = 0, A_1 = 1, R_2 = 1, S_2 = 1, A_2 = 1, R_3 = 1$

and updates its deterministic model accordingly. What would the model output for the following queries:

- (a) Model(S = 0, A = 0):
- (b) Model(S = 0, A = 1):
- (c) Model(S = 1, A = 0):
- (d) Model(S = 1, A = 1):
- 2. An agent is in a 4-state MDP, $S = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, where each state has two actions $A = \{1, 2\}$. Assume the agent saw the following trajectory,

```
\begin{split} S_0 &= 1, A_0 = 2, R_1 = -1, \\ S_1 &= 1, A_1 = 1, R_2 = 1, \\ S_2 &= 2, A_2 = 2, R_3 = -1, \\ S_3 &= 2, A_3 = 1, R_4 = 1, \\ S_4 &= 3, A_4 = 1, R_5 = 100, \\ S_5 &= 4 \end{split}
```

and uses Tabular Dyna-Q with 5 planning steps for each interaction with the environment.

- (a) Once the agent sees S_5 , how many Q-learning updates has it done with real experience? How many updates has it done with simulated experience?
- (b) Which of the following are possible (or not possible) simulated transitions $\{S, A, R, S'\}$ given the above observed trajectory with a deterministic model and random search control?

i. $\{S = 1, A = 1, R = 1, S' = 2\}$ ii. $\{S = 2, A = 1, R = -1, S' = 3\}$ iii. $\{S = 2, A = 2, R = -1, S' = 2\}$ iv. $\{S = 1, A = 2, R = -1, S' = 1\}$ v. $\{S = 3, A = 1, R = 100, S' = 5\}$ 3. Modify the Tabular Dyna-Q algorithm so that it uses Expected Sarsa instead of Q-learning. Assume that the target policy is ϵ -greedy. What should we call this algorithm?

Tabular Dyna-Q
Initialize $Q(s, a)$ and $Model(s, a)$ for all $s \in S$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}(s)$
Loop forever:
(a) $S \leftarrow \text{current (nonterminal) state}$
(b) $A \leftarrow \varepsilon$ -greedy (S, Q)
(c) Take action A; observe resultant reward, R , and state, S'
(d) $Q(S, A) \leftarrow Q(S, A) + \alpha [R + \gamma \max_{a} Q(S', a) - Q(S, A)]$
(e) $Model(S, A) \leftarrow R, S'$ (assuming deterministic environment)
(f) Loop repeat n times:
$S \leftarrow \text{random previously observed state}$
$A \leftarrow$ random action previously taken in S
$R, S' \leftarrow Model(S, A)$
$Q(S, A) \leftarrow Q(S, A) + \alpha \big[R + \gamma \max_{a} Q(S', a) - Q(S, A) \big]$

4. Consider an MDP with two states {1,2} and two possible actions: {stay, switch}. The state transitions are deterministic, the state does not change if the action is "stay" and the state switches if the action is "switch". However, rewards are randomly distributed:

$$P(R | S = 1, A = \text{stay}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{w.p. } 0.4\\ 1 & \text{w.p. } 0.6 \end{cases}, \quad P(R | S = 1, A = \text{switch}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{w.p. } 0.5\\ 1 & \text{w.p. } 0.5 \end{cases}$$

$$P(R | S = 2, A = \text{stay}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{w.p. 0.6} \\ 1 & \text{w.p. 0.4} \end{cases}, \ P(R | S = 2, A = \text{switch}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{w.p. 0.5} \\ 1 & \text{w.p. 0.5} \end{cases}$$

- (a) How might you learn the reward model? Hint: think about how probabilities are estimated. For example, what if you were to estimate the probability of a coin landing on heads? If you observed 10 coin flips with 8 heads and 2 tails, then you can estimate the probabilities by counting: $p(\text{heads}) = \frac{8}{10} = 0.8$ and $p(\text{tails}) = \frac{2}{10} = 0.2$.
- (b) Modify the tabular Dyna-Q algorithm to handle this MDP with stochastic rewards.

5. Challenge Question: Consider an MDP with three states $S = \{1, 2, 3\}$, where each state has two possible actions $\mathcal{A} = \{1, 2\}$ and a discount rate $\gamma = 0.5$. Suppose estimates of Q(S, A) are initialized to 0 and you observed the following episode according to an unknown behaviour policy where S_3 is the terminal state.

 $S_0 = 1, A_0 = 1, R_1 = -7, S_1 = 3, A_1 = 2, R_2 = 5, S_2 = 1, A_2 = 1, R_3 = 10$

- (a) Suppose you used Q-learning with the above trajectory to estimate Q(S, A), what are your new estimates for Q(S = 1, A = 1) using $\alpha = 0.1$?
- (b) What is one possible model for this environment? Is the model stochastic or deterministic?
- (c) Suppose in the planning loop, after search control, we would like to update Q(S = 1, A = 1) with Q-planning. What are the possible outputs of Model(S = 1, A = 1)?
- (d) If your model outputs $R = R_3$ and $S' = S_3$, what is Q(S = 1, A = 1) after one Q-planning update? Use the estimates of Q(S, A) from before.

6. (*Exercise 8.2 S&B*) Why did the Dyna agent with exploration bonus, Dyna-Q+, perform better in the first phase as well as in the second phase of the blocking experiment in Figure 8.4?



Figure 8.4: Average performance of Dyna agents on a blocking task. The left environment was used for the first 1000 steps, the right environment for the rest. Dyna-Q+ is Dyna-Q with an exploration bonus that encourages exploration.

7. (*Exercise 8.3 S&B*) Challenge Question: Careful inspection of Figure 8.5 reveals that the difference between Dyna-Q+ and Dyna-Q narrowed slightly over the first part of the experiment. What is the reason for this?



Figure 8.5: Average performance of Dyna agents on a shortcut task. The left environment was used for the first 3000 steps, the right environment for the rest.