Probability Theory Review

CMPUT 466/566

Martha White

Fall, 2019

Reminders

- Assignment 1 is due on September 26
  - 566 has to do extra question (bonus for 466)
- Thought questions 1 are due on September 19
  - Preface and Chapters 1-4, about 40 pages
  - If you are printing, don't print all the notes yet
- Start thinking about datasets for your mini-project
- I do not expect you to know formulas, like pdfs
- I will use a combination of slides and writing on the board (where you should take notes)
- Any questions?

Background for course

- Need to know calculus, mostly derivatives
  - Will almost never integrate
  - I will teach you multivariate calculus
- Need to know linear algebra
  - I assume you know about vectors, matrices and dot products
  - I will teach you more advanced topics, like singular value decompositions
- Need to have learned about probability

Why do we need probabilities?

- We could just assume a deterministic world
- I see an input x, I can produce the output y = f(x)
  - Example: in a game (e.g., chess), you take an action, and the outcome is deterministic
- But, even in a deterministic world, we have a problem: partial observability
- Outcomes look random because we don't have enough information
  - Example: Imagine a (high-tech) gumball machine, where f(x = has candy, battery charged) = output candy
  - You can only see if it has candy

Why do you need to know probabilities?

- Once we derive the algorithms, you may not have needed to know about probabilities
  - isn't it all just linear algebra?
- BUT, actually, many models in machine learning use distributions explicitly, including
  - Graphical models
  - Boltzmann machine
  - Bayesian strategies, like Bayesian linear regression
  - Variational auto-encoders
  - …
- Having a good grasp of probabilities (formally) really makes understanding these models easier

Structure for Course

- In Chapter 4, we will see that optimal regression and classification models model distribution p(y | x)
- In Chapter 3, we talk about how to estimate distributions, like p(y) and p(y | x) (maximum likelihood)
- Alternative order: motivate why we care about p(y|x) first and then talk about how to estimate p(y|x)
- Problem: you are not yet sufficiently comfortable with distributions to jump right to Chapter 4
- Instead: we learn about MLE first, both because (a) we will need it later and (b) as practice to get comfortable with probability

Space of outcomes and events

Ω = sample space, all outcomes of the experiment

$\mathcal{E}$ = event space, set of subsets of Ω

Ω and $\mathcal{E}$ must be non-empty

Sample Spaces

Ω



discrete (countable)

$\Omega = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$

$\Omega = \mathbb{N}$

$e.g., \mathcal{E} = \{\emptyset, \{1, 2\}, \{3, 4, 5, 6\}, \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}\}$

continuous (uncountable)

$\Omega = [0, 1]$

$\Omega = \mathbb{R}$

$e.g., \mathcal{E} = \{\emptyset, [0, 0.5], (0.5, 1.0], [0, 1]\}$

$\Omega = [0, 1] \cup \{2\} =$ mixed space

(Measurable) Space of outcomes and events

Ω = sample space, all outcomes of the experiment
$\mathcal{E}$ = event space, set of subsets of Ω

Ω and $\mathcal{E}$ must be non-empty

If the following conditions hold:

1. $A \in \mathcal{E} \quad \Rightarrow \quad A^c \in \mathcal{E}$
2. $A_1, A_2, \ldots \in \mathcal{E} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \in \mathcal{E}$

$\mathcal{E}$ is an event space

Note: terminology sigma field sounds technical, but it just means this event space

$(\Omega, \mathcal{E})$ = a measurable space

Why is this the Definition?

Intuitively,

1. A collection of outcomes is an event (e.g., either a 1 or 6 was rolled)
2. If we can measure two events separately, then their union should also be a measurable event
3. If we can measure an event, then we should be able to measure that that event did not occur (the complement)

Ω = sample space, all outcomes of the experiment
$\mathcal{E}$ = event space, set of subsets of Ω

If the following conditions hold:

1. $A \in \mathcal{E} \quad \Rightarrow \quad A^c \in \mathcal{E}$
2. $A_1, A_2, \ldots \in \mathcal{E} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \in \mathcal{E}$

Sample Spaces

Ω



discrete (countable)

$\Omega = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$

$\Omega = \mathbb{N}$

$e.g., \mathcal{E} = \{\emptyset, \{1, 2\}, \{3, 4, 5, 6\}, \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}\}$

Typically: $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$

Power set

continuous (uncountable)

$\Omega = [0, 1]$

$\Omega = \mathbb{R}$

$e.g., \mathcal{E} = \{\emptyset, [0, 0.5], (0.5, 1.0], [0, 1]\}$

Typically: $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{B}(\Omega)$

Borel field

$\Omega = [0, 1] \cup \{2\} =$ mixed space

A FEW COMMENTS ON TERMINOLOGY

- A few new terms, including countable, closure
  - only a small amount of terminology used, can google these terms and learn on your own
  - notation sheet in notes
- Countable: integers, {0.1,2.0,3.6},…
- Uncountable: real numbers, intervals, …
- Interchangeably I use (though its somewhat loose)
  - discrete and countable
  - continuous and uncountable

Axioms of Probability

$(\Omega, \mathcal{E})$ = a measurable space

Any function $P : \mathcal{E} \to [0, 1]$ such that

1. (unit measure) $P(\Omega) = 1$
2. (σ-additivity) Any countable sequence of disjoint events $A_1, A_2, \ldots \in \mathcal{E}$ satisfies $P(\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(A_i)$

is called a probability measure (probability distribution)

$(\Omega, \mathcal{E}, P)$ = a probability space

Finding Probability Distributions

$(\Omega, \mathcal{E})$ = a measurable space

Do you recognize this distribution?

Example: $\Omega = \{0, 1\}$
$\mathcal{E} = \{\emptyset, \{0\}, \{1\}, \Omega\}$

$$P(A) = \begin{cases} 1-\alpha & A = \{0\} \\ \alpha & A = \{1\} \\ 0 & A = \emptyset \\ 1 & A = \Omega \end{cases} \qquad \alpha \in [0, 1]$$

How can we choose P in practice?

Clearly, we cannot do it arbitrarily.

How can we satisfy all constraints?

Probability Mass Functions

$\Omega =$ discrete sample space
$\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$

Probability mass function:

1. $p : \Omega \to [0, 1]$
2. $\sum_{\omega \in \Omega} p(\omega) = 1$

The probability of any event $A \in \mathcal{E}$ is defined as

$$P(A) = \sum_{\omega \in A} p(\omega)$$

Arbitrary PMFs

e.g. PMF for a fair die (table of values)

$$\Omega = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$$

$$p(\omega) = 1/6 \quad \forall \omega \in \Omega$$



Exercise: How are PMFs useful as a model?

- Recall we wanted to model commute times
- We could use a probability table for minutes: count number of times t = 1, 2, 3, … occurs and then normalize probabilities by # samples
- Pick t with the largest p(t)



Useful PMFs

Bernoulli distribution: $\Omega = \{S, F\} \quad \alpha \in (0, 1)$

$$p(\omega) = \begin{cases} \alpha & \omega = S \\ 1 - \alpha & \omega = F \end{cases}$$

Alternatively, $\Omega = \{0, 1\}$

$$p(k) = \alpha^k \cdot (1 - \alpha)^{1-k} \qquad \forall k \in \Omega$$

USEFUL PMFS

Poisson distribution: $\Omega = \{0, 1, \ldots\} \quad \lambda \in (0, \infty)$

e.g., amount of mail received in a day
number of calls received by call center in an hour

$$p(k) = \frac{\lambda^k e^{-\lambda}}{k!} \qquad \forall k \in \Omega$$



USEFUL PMFS

Poisson distribution: $\Omega = \{0, 1, \ldots\} \quad \lambda \in (0, \infty)$

e.g., amount of mail received in a day
number of calls received by call center in an hour

$$p(k) = \frac{\lambda^k e^{-\lambda}}{k!} \qquad \forall k \in \Omega$$

1. Can we use a table for this?
2. How do we know this is a valid pmf? How can you check?



Exercise: Can we use a Poisson for commute times?

- Used a probability table (histogram) for minutes: count number of times t = 1, 2, 3, … occurs and then normalize probabilities by # samples
- Can we use a Poisson?

$$p(k) = \frac{\lambda^k e^{-\lambda}}{k!}$$





Probability Density Functions

$\Omega =$ continuous sample space
$\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{B}(\Omega)$

Probability density function:

1. $p : \Omega \to [0, \infty)$
2. $\int_\Omega p(\omega) d\omega = 1$

The probability of any event $A \in \mathcal{E}$ is defined as

$$P(A) = \int_A p(\omega) d\omega.$$

PMFs vs. PDFs

$\Omega =$ discrete sample space

Consider a singleton event $\{\omega\} \in \mathcal{E}$, where $\omega \in \Omega$

$$P(\{\omega\}) = p(\omega)$$

$\Omega =$ continuous sample space

Example:

- Stopping time of a car, in interval [3,15]. What is the probability of seeing a stopping time of exactly 3.141596? (How much mass in [3,15]?)
- More reasonable to ask the probability of stopping between 3 to 3.5 seconds.

PMFs vs. PDFs

$\Omega =$ discrete sample space

Consider a singleton event $\{\omega\} \in \mathcal{F}$, where $\omega \in \Omega$

$$P(\{\omega\}) = p(\omega)$$

$\Omega =$ continuous sample space

Consider an interval event $A = [x, x + \Delta x]$, where Δ is small

$$\begin{aligned} P(A) &= \int_{x}^{x+\Delta x} p(\omega) d\omega \\ &\approx p(x)\Delta x \end{aligned}$$

Useful PDFs

Uniform distribution: $\Omega = [a, b]$

$$p(\omega) = \frac{1}{b-a} \qquad \forall \omega \in [a, b]$$



USEFUL PDFS

Gaussian distribution: $\Omega = \mathbb{R}$ $\mu \in \mathbb{R}, \sigma \in \mathbb{R}^+$

$$p(\omega) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(\omega-\mu)^2} \qquad \forall \omega \in \mathbb{R}$$



USEFUL PDFS

Exponential distribution: $\Omega = [0, \infty) \quad \lambda > 0$

$$p(\omega) = \lambda e^{-\lambda \omega} \qquad \forall \omega \geq 0$$



EXERCISE: MODELING COMMUTE TIMES



Gamma



Poisson

Which might you choose?





Gaussian

$$p(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{(t-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

Random Variables

$(\Omega, \mathcal{E}, P)$





$$A = \{\omega \in \Omega : Musician(\omega) = \text{yes}\}$$

Musician is a random variable (a function)
A is a new event, let's call it 1; Not-A is 0
Omega is {0, 1}
Can ask P(M = 0) and P(M = 1)

We instinctively create this transformation

Assume Ω is a set of people.

Compute the probability that a randomly selected person $\omega \in \Omega$ has a cold.

Define event $A = \{\omega \in \Omega : \text{Disease}(\omega) = \text{cold}\}$.

Disease is our new random variable, $P(Disease = cold)$

Disease is a function that maps outcome space to new outcome space $\{\text{cold}, \text{not cold}\}$

Disease is a function, which is neither a variable nor random
BUT, this term is still a good one since we treat Disease as a variable
And assume it can take on different values
(randomly according to some distribution)

Random Variable: Formal Definition

$(\Omega, \mathcal{E}, P)$ = a probability space

Random variable:

1. $X : \Omega \to \Omega_X$
2. $\forall A \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega_X)$ it holds that $\{\omega : X(\omega) \in A\} \in \mathcal{E}$

It follows that: $P_X(A) = P(\{\omega : X(\omega) \in A\})$

Example $X : \Omega \to [0, \infty)$

Ω is set of (measured) people in population

with associated measurements such as height and weight

$X(\omega)$ = height

$A =$ interval $= [5'1'', 5'2'']$

$P(X \in A) = P(5'1'' \leq X \leq 5'2'') = P(\{\omega : X(\omega) \in A\})$

5 minute break and Exercise

- Let X be a random variable that corresponds to the ratio of hard-to-easy problems on an assignment. Assume it takes values in {0.1, 0.25, 0.7}.
  - Is this discrete or continuous? Does it have a PMF or PDF?
  - Further, where could the variability come from? i.e., why is this a random variable?
- Let X be the stopping time of a car, taking values in [3,5] union [7,9]. Is this discrete or continuous?
- Think of an example of a discrete random variable (RV) and a continuous RV

What if we have more than two variables...

- So far, we have considered scalar random variables
- Axioms of probability defined abstractly, apply to vector random variables

Ω = sample space, all outcomes of the experiment
$\mathcal{E}$ = event space, set of subsets of Ω

$$\Omega = \mathbb{R}^2, \text{e.g.,}\ \omega = [-0.5, 10]$$

$$\Omega = [0, 1] \times [2, 5], \text{e.g.,}\ \omega = [0.2, 3.5]$$

But, when defining probabilities, we will want to consider how the variables interact

Two discrete random variables

Random variables X and Y

Outcome spaces $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$

$$p(x, y) = P(X = x, Y = y)$$

$$\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} p(x, y) = 1.$$

$$\mathcal{X} = \{\text{young}, \text{old}\} \text{ and } \mathcal{Y} = \{\text{no arthritis}, \text{arthritis}\}$$

		Y = 0	Y = 1
X	0	$P(X{=}0, Y{=}0) = 1/2$	$P(X{=}0, Y{=}1) = 1/100$
	1	$P(X{=}1, Y{=}0) = 1/10$	$P(X{=}1, Y{=}1) = 39/100$

Table 1.1: A joint probability table for random variables X and Y.

* these numbers are completely made up

Some questions we might ask now that we have two random variables

$$\mathcal{X} = \{\text{young}, \text{old}\} \text{ and } \mathcal{Y} = \{\text{no arthritis}, \text{arthritis}\}$$

		Y = 0	Y = 1
X	0	$1/2$	$1/100$
	1	$1/10$	$39/100$

Are these two variables related?
Or do they change completely independently of each other?

Given this joint distribution, can we determine just the distribution over arthritis? i.e., P(Y = 1)? (Marginal distribution)

If we knew something about one of the variables, say that the person Is young, do we now the distribution over Y? (Conditional distribution)

Example: Marginal and Conditional Distribution

$$\mathcal{X} = \{\text{young}, \text{old}\} \text{ and } \mathcal{Y} = \{\text{no arthritis}, \text{arthritis}\}$$

		Y = 0	Y = 1
X	0	$1/2$	$1/100$
	1	$1/10$	$39/100$

P(Y = 1) = P(Y = 1, X = 0) + P(Y = 1, X = 1) = 40/100
What is P(Y = 0)?

P(X = 1) = 49/100. So what is P(X = 0)?

P(Y = 1 | X = 0) = ?
Is it 1/100, where the table tells us P(Y = 1, X=0)?

No

Conditional Distributions

Conditional probability distribution:

$$p(y|x) = \frac{p(x,y)}{p(x)}$$

The probability of an event A, given that $X = x$, is:

$$P(Y \in A|X = x) = \begin{cases} \sum_{y \in A} p(y|x) & Y : \text{discrete} \\ \\ \int_A p(y|x)dy & Y : \text{continuous} \end{cases}$$

Exercise: Conditional Distribution

$$\mathcal{X} = \{\text{young}, \text{old}\} \text{ and } \mathcal{Y} = \{\text{no arthritis}, \text{arthritis}\}$$

		Y = 0	Y = 1
X	0	$1/2$	$1/100$
	1	$1/10$	$39/100$

$$p(y|x) = \frac{p(x,y)}{p(x)}$$

P(Y = 1 | X = 0) = ?

What is P(Y = 0 | X = 0)?
Should P(Y = 1 | X = 0) + P(Y = 0 | X = 0) = 1?

Joint distributions for many variables

In general, we can consider d-dimensional random variable $\boldsymbol{X} = (X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_d)$ with vector-valued outcomes $\boldsymbol{x} = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_d)$, such that each x_i is chosen from some $\mathcal{X}_i$. Then, for the discrete case, any function $p : \mathcal{X}_1 \times \mathcal{X}_2 \times \ldots \times \mathcal{X}_d \to [0, 1]$ is called a multidimensional probability mass function if

$$\sum_{x_1 \in \mathcal{X}_1} \sum_{x_2 \in \mathcal{X}_2} \cdots \sum_{x_d \in \mathcal{X}d} p\left(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_d\right) = 1.$$

or, for the continuous case, $p : \mathcal{X}_1 \times \mathcal{X}_2 \times \ldots \times \mathcal{X}_d \to [0, \infty]$ is a multidimensional probability density function if

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}_1} \int_{\mathcal{X}_2} \cdots \int_{\mathcal{X}d} p\left(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_d\right) dx_1 dx_2 \ldots dx_d = 1.$$

Marginal distributions

A *marginal distribution* is defined for a subset of $\boldsymbol{X} = (X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_d)$ by summing or integrating over the remaining variables. For the discrete case, the marginal distribution $p(x_i)$ is defined as

$$p(x_i) = \sum_{x_1 \in \mathcal{X}_1} \cdots \sum_{x_{i-1} \in \mathcal{X}_{i-1}} \sum_{x_{i+1} \in \mathcal{X}_{i+1}} \cdots \sum_{x_d \in \mathcal{X}_d} p(x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1}, x_i, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_d),$$

where the variable x_i is fixed to some value and we sum over all possible values of the other variables. Similarly, for the continuous case, the marginal distribution $p(x_i)$ is defined as

$$p(x_i) = \int_{\mathcal{X}_1} \cdots \int_{\mathcal{X}_{i-1}} \int_{\mathcal{X}_{i+1}} \cdots \int_{\mathcal{X}_d} p(x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1}, x_i, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_d)\, dx_1 \ldots dx_{i-1} dx_{i+1} \ldots dx_d.$$

Natural question: Why do you use p for p(xi) and for p(x1, …., xd)?
They have different domains, they can't be the same function!

Dropping subscripts

Instead of: $$p_{Y|X}(y|x) = \frac{p_{XY}(x,y)}{p_X(x)}$$

We will write: $$p(y|x) = \frac{p(x,y)}{p(x)}$$

ANOTHER EXAMPLE FOR CONDITIONAL DISTRIBUTIONS

- Let **X** be a Bernoulli random variable (i.e., 0 or 1 with probability alpha)
- Let **Y** be a random variable in {10, 11, …, 1000}
- p(y | X = 0) and p(y | X = 1) are different distributions
- Two **types of books**: fiction (X=0) and non-fiction (X=1)
- Let Y correspond to **number of pages**
- Distribution over number of pages different for fiction and non-fiction books (e.g., average different)

EXAMPLE CONTINUED

- Two types of books: fiction (X=0) and non-fiction (X=1)
- Y corresponds to number of pages
- If most books are non-fiction, p(X = 0, y) is small even if y is a likely number of pages for a fiction book
- p(X = 0) accounts for the fact that joint probability small if p(X = 0) is small
  - p(y | X = 0) = p(X = 0, y)/p(X = 0)
  - p(X = 0 , y) = probability that a book is fiction and has y pages (imagine randomly sampling a book)
  - p(X = 0) = probability that a book is fiction

Another Example

- Two types of books: fiction (X=0) and non-fiction (X=1)
- Let Y be a random variable over the reals, which corresponds to amount of money made
- p(y | X = 0) and p(y | X = 1) are different distributions
- e.g., even if both p(y | X = 0) and p(y | X = 1) are Gaussian, they likely have different means and variances



Review so far

- PMFs (discrete) and PDFs (continuous)
- Joint probabilities
- Marginals
- Conditional probabilities
- Chain rule (and Bayes rule)

Chain Rule

Conditional probability distribution:

$$p(x_k|x_1,\ldots,x_{k-1}) = \frac{p(x_1,\ldots,x_k)}{p(x_1,\ldots,x_{k-1})}$$

This leads to:

$$p(x_1,\ldots,x_k) = p(x_k)\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} p(x_i|x_{i+1},\ldots,x_k)$$

$$= p(x_1)\prod_{i=2}^{k} p(x_i|x_1,\ldots,x_{i-1})$$

Two variable example $p(x,y) = p(x|y)p(y) = p(y|x)p(x)$

Chain Rule

Conditional probability distribution:

$$p(x_k|x_1,\ldots,x_{k-1}) = \frac{p(x_1,\ldots,x_k)}{p(x_1,\ldots,x_{k-1})}$$

This leads to:

$$p(x_1,\ldots,x_k) = p(x_k)\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} p(x_i|x_{i+1},\ldots,x_k)$$

Three variable example

$$\begin{aligned} p(x,y,z) &= p(y|x,z)p(x,z) = p(y|x,z)p(x|z)p(z) \\ &= p(x|y,z)p(y|z)p(z) \\ &= p(x|y,z)p(z|y)p(y) \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

How do we get Bayes rule?

Recall chain rule: $p(x, y) = p(x|y)p(y) = p(y|x)p(x)$

Bayes rule: $$p(y|x) = \frac{p(x|y)p(y)}{p(x)}$$

EXERCISE: CONDITIONAL PROBABILITIES

- Using conditional probabilities, we can incorporate other external information (features)
- Let y be the commute time, x the day of the year
- Array of conditional probability values —> p(y | x)
  - y = 1, 2, … and x = 1, 2, …, 365
- What are some issues with this choice for x?
- What other x could we use feasibly?



Exercise: Adding in auxiliary information

- Gamma distribution for commute times extrapolates between recorded time in minutes
- Can incorporate external information (features) by modeling theta = function(features)

$$\theta = \sum_{i=1}^{d} w_i x_i$$



$$p(t) = \frac{t^{k-1} e^{-t/\theta}}{\theta^k \Gamma(k)}$$

Reminders: September 10, 2019

- Assignment 1 is due on September 26
  - 566 has to do extra question (bonus for 466)
- Thought questions 1 are due on September 19
  - Preface and Chapters 1-4, about 40 pages
- I have Office Hours today, from 2 - 4 p.m.
- TAs are scheduling office hours
- Any questions?

Independence of Random Variables

X and Y are **independent** if:

$$p(x, y) = p(x)p(y)$$

X and Y are **conditionally independent** given Z if:

$$p(x, y|z) = p(x|z)p(y|z)$$

Conditional Independence Examples
Example 7 in the notes

- Imagine you have a biased coin (does not flip 50% heads and 50% tails, but skewed towards one)
- Let Z = bias of a coin (say outcomes are 0.3, 0.5, 0.8 with associated probabilities 0.7, 0.2, 0.1)
  - what other outcome space could we consider?
  - what kinds of distributions?
- Let X and Y be consecutive flips of the coin
- Are X and Y independent?
- Are X and Y conditionally independent, given Z?

**(Basic example about an important issue in ML: hidden variables)

Conditional Independence Examples
Example 7 in the notes

- Are X and Y independent? (don't know Z) $p(X,Y) = p(X)p(Y)?$
- Are X and Y conditionally independent, given Z?

$p(X,Y|Z) = p(X|Z)p(Y|Z)?$



bias

z	0.3	0.5	0.8
p(z)	0.7	0.2	0.1

probability of that bias

Imagine don't know Z and flip two 0s. Does that tell you anything about Z?

**(Basic example about an important issue in ML: hidden variables)

Conditional Independence Examples
Example 7 in the notes

- What is p(X, Y)? $p(X,Y) = \sum_z p(X,Y,Z)$

  Called the Law of Total Probability

$$= \sum_z p(X,Y|Z)p(Z)$$

- What is p(X | Z)? Its a Bernoulli
- Conditional independence: $p(X,Y|Z) = p(X|Z)p(Y|Z)$

$$P(X,Y) \neq P(X)P(Y)$$



bias

z	0.3	0.5	0.8
p(z)	0.7	0.2	0.1

probability of that bias

Expected value (Mean)

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \begin{cases} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} x p(x) & X : \text{discrete} \\ \int_{\mathcal{X}} x p(x) dx & X : \text{continuous} \end{cases}$$



Expectations with functions

$$f : \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$$

$$\mathbb{E}\left[f(X)\right] = \begin{cases} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} f(x)p(x) & X : \text{discrete} \\ \int_{\mathcal{X}} f(x)p(x)dx & X : \text{continuous} \end{cases}$$

p(x)



f(x)



Conditional Expectations

$$\mathbb{E}[Y|X=x] = \begin{cases} \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} y p(y|x) & Y : \text{discrete} \\ \int_{\mathcal{Y}} y p(y|x) dy & Y : \text{continuous} \end{cases}$$

Different expected value, depending on which x is observed

Example: p(y | x) = Gaussian with N(mu = x, sigma^2 = 10)
What is the E[Y | x]?

Example: p(y | x) = Gaussian with N(mu = f(x), sigma^2 = 0.1)
What is the E[Y | x]?

VARIANCE

$$\text{Variance}(X) = \mathbb{E}[(X - \mathbb{E}[X])^2]$$
$$= \mathbb{E}[X^2] - \mathbb{E}[X]^2$$

Why? See if you can get this formula



Expected value for multivariate

$$\mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{X}] = \begin{cases} \sum_{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathcal{X}} \boldsymbol{x} p(\boldsymbol{x}) & \boldsymbol{X} : \text{discrete} \\ \int_{\mathcal{X}} \boldsymbol{x} p(\boldsymbol{x}) d\boldsymbol{x} & \boldsymbol{X} : \text{continuous} \end{cases}$$

Each instance x is a vector, p is a function on these vectors



Covariance



$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{Cov}[X,Y] &= \mathbb{E}\left[(X - \mathbb{E}\left[X\right])\left(Y - \mathbb{E}\left[Y\right]\right)\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[XY\right] - \mathbb{E}\left[X\right]\mathbb{E}\left[Y\right],\end{aligned}$$

$$\operatorname{Corr}[X,Y] = \frac{\operatorname{Cov}[X,Y]}{\sqrt{V[X]\cdot V[Y]}},$$

Covariance for more than two dimensions

$$\boldsymbol{X} = [X_1, \ldots, X_d]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_{ij} &= \mathrm{Cov}[X_i, X_j] \\
&= \mathbb{E}\left[(X_i - \mathbb{E}\left[X_i\right])\left(X_j - \mathbb{E}\left[X_j\right]\right)\right]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{\Sigma} &= \mathrm{Cov}[\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{X}] \quad \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d} \\
&= \mathbb{E}[(\boldsymbol{X} - \mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{X}])(\boldsymbol{X} - \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{X})^\top] \\
&= \mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{X}^\top] - \mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{X}]\mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{X}]^\top.
\end{aligned}$$

Covariance for more than two dimensions

$$\boldsymbol{X} = [X_1, \ldots, X_d]$$

$$\begin{aligned}\boldsymbol{\Sigma} &= \mathrm{Cov}[\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{X}] \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d} \\ &= \mathbb{E}[(\boldsymbol{X} - \mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{X}])(\boldsymbol{X} - \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{X})^\top] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{X}^\top] - \mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{X}]\mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{X}]^\top.\end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

Dot product

$$\mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{y} = \sum_{i=1}^{d} x_i y_i$$

Outer product

$$\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}^\top = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 y_1 & x_1 y_2 & \ldots & x_1 y_d \\ x_2 y_1 & x_2 y_2 & \ldots & x_2 y_d \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_d y_1 & x_d y_2 & \ldots & x_d y_d \end{bmatrix}$$

Covariance for more than two dimensions

$$\boldsymbol{X} = [X_1, \ldots, X_d]$$

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \mathrm{Cov}[\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{X}] \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$$
$$= \mathbb{E}[(\boldsymbol{X} - \mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{X}])(\boldsymbol{X} - \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{X})^\top]$$
$$= \mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{X}^\top] - \mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{X}]\mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{X}]^\top.$$

$$\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

Example:

$$\mathbb{E}\begin{bmatrix} X_1^2 & X_1X_2 \\ X_2X_1 & X_2^2 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{E}[X_1]^2 & \mathbb{E}[X_1]\mathbb{E}[X_2] \\ \mathbb{E}[X_2]\mathbb{E}[X_1] & \mathbb{E}[X_2]^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Some useful properties

1. $\mathbb{E}[c\boldsymbol{X}] = c\mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{X}]$
2. $\mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{X} + \boldsymbol{Y}] = \mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{X}] + \mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{Y}]$
3. $V[c] = 0$ ▷ the variance of a constant is zero
4. $\mathrm{V}[\boldsymbol{X}] \succeq 0$ (i.e., is positive semi-definite), where for $d = 1$, $\mathrm{V}[\boldsymbol{X}] \geq 0$
   $\mathrm{V}[\boldsymbol{X}]$ is shorthand for $\mathrm{Cov}[\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{X}]$.
5. $\mathrm{V}[c\boldsymbol{X}] = c^2\mathrm{V}[\boldsymbol{X}]$.
6. $\mathrm{Cov}[\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{Y}] = \mathbb{E}[(\boldsymbol{X} - \mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{X}])(\boldsymbol{Y} - \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{Y})^\top] = \mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{Y}^\top] - \mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{X}]\mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{Y}]^\top$
7. $\mathsf{V}[\boldsymbol{X} + \boldsymbol{Y}] = \mathrm{V}[\boldsymbol{X}] + \mathrm{V}[\boldsymbol{Y}] + 2\mathrm{Cov}[\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{Y}]$

Multidimensional PMF

Now record both commute time and number red lights

$\Omega = \{4, \ldots, 14\} \times \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$

PMF is normalized 2-d table (histogram) of occurrences



Multidimensional Gaussian

$\Omega = \mathbb{R}^d$

$\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})^d$

$\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ = positive definite $d \times d$ matrix

$|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|$ = determinant of $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$

$$p(\boldsymbol{\omega}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^d |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{\omega} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\boldsymbol{\omega} - \boldsymbol{\mu})\right)$$



d = 2

$\boldsymbol{\mu} = (0, 0)$

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & .75 \\ .75 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Example of multivariate Gaussian

$$p(\boldsymbol{\omega}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^k |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{\omega}-\boldsymbol{\mu})^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\omega}-\boldsymbol{\mu})\right)$$



$$\boldsymbol{\mu} = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \mu_2 \end{bmatrix} \quad \boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \begin{bmatrix} 10 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \quad \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{10} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\omega} - \boldsymbol{\mu} = \begin{bmatrix} \omega_1 - \mu_1 \\ \omega_2 - \mu_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \omega_1 - \mu_1 \\ \omega_2 - \mu_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{10} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{10}(\omega_1 - \mu_1) \\ \frac{1}{2}(\omega_2 - \mu_2) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{10}(\omega_1 - \mu_1) \\ \frac{1}{2}(\omega_2 - \mu_2) \end{bmatrix}^\top \begin{bmatrix} \omega_1 - \mu_1 \\ \omega_2 - \mu_2 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{10}(\omega_1 - \mu_1)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\omega_2 - \mu_2)^2$$

Mixtures of Distributions

Mixture model:

A set of m probability distributions, $\{p_i(x)\}_{i=1}^m$

$$p(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} w_i p_i(x)$$

where $\boldsymbol{w} = (w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_m)$ and non-negative and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} w_i = 1$$

Mixtures of Gaussians

Mixture of $m = 2$ Gaussian distributions:

$$w_1 = 0.75,\ w_2 = 0.25$$

$$p(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} w_i p_i(x)$$



MIXTURES CAN PRODUCE COMPLEX DISTRIBUTIONS





* Image from https://people.ucsc.edu/~ealdrich/Teaching/Econ114/LectureNotes/kde.html

Exercise: Should we Discretize

- Notice that moving to continuous RVs puts more restrictions on the distributions we can define
  - mixtures provide more generality, but still restricted
- For discrete RVs, distributions are table of probabilities and so are highly flexible
  - we can define any possible distribution
- So, why not just discretize our variables?
- Example: imagine have d-dimensional random vectors, each entry in range [0,1]
- You discretize each dimension into 3 bins
- How many variables do you need for a PMF?
- What if had instead modelled it as a Gaussian? Or a Mixture?

Exercise: Sample average is an unbiased estimator

Obtain instances $x_1, \ldots, x_n$

What can we say about the sample average?

This sample is random, so we consider i.i.d. random variables $X_1, \ldots, X_n$

Reflects that we could have seen a different set of instances x_i

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i\right] &= \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}[X_i] \\
&= \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu \\
&= \mu
\end{aligned}$$

For any one sample $x_1, \ldots, x_n$, unlikely that $\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i = \mu$