Review for Quiz Chapter 2 (Probability) Chapter 3 (Estimation): Bias, Variance, Concentration Inequalities

CMPUT 296: Basics of Machine Learning

Logistics

- Quiz during class on Thursday; come to regular zoom lecture earlier
- TAs will go over Assignment 1 in Lab on Wednesday
- Any questions/issues with starting Assignment 2?

Language of Probabilities

- Define random variables, and their distributions
 - Then can formally reason about them
- Express our beliefs about behaviour of these RVs, and relationships to other RVs
- Examples:
 - p(x) Gaussian means we believe X is Gaussian distributed
 - p(y | X = x) or written p(y | x) is Gaussian says that conditioned on x, then y is Gaussian; but p(y) might not be Gaussian
 - p(w) and p(w | Data)

PMFs and PDFs

0.3

0.2

0.1

0.0

-4

- Discrete RVs have PMFs
 - outcome space: e.g, $\Omega = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$
- Continuous RVs have PDFs \bullet
 - outcome space: e.g., $\Omega = [0,1]$
 - example pdf: Gaussian, Gamma



2

1

3

-2 -1

Ó

-3

A few questions

- Do PMFs p(x) have to output values between [0,1]?
- Do PDFs p(x) have to output values between [0,1]?
- What other condition(s) are put on a function p to make it a valid pmf or pdf?
- Is the following function a pdf or a pmf?

•
$$p(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{b-a} & \text{if } a \le x \le b, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

i.e.,
$$p(x) = \frac{1}{b-a}$$
 for $x \in [a, b]$

How would you define a uniform distribution for a discrete RV

- Imagine $x \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$
- What is the uniform pmf for this outcome space?

•
$$p(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{5} & \text{if } x \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

},

How do you answer the probabilistic question?

what is the probability that X is greater than 7?

$$\Pr(X > 7) = \int_{7}^{10} p(x)dx = \int_{7}^{10} \frac{1}{10}dx$$
$$= \frac{1}{10} \int_{7}^{10} dx = \frac{1}{10} x \Big|_{7}^{10}$$
$$= \frac{3}{10}$$

• For continuous RV X with a uniform distribution and outcome space [0,10],

Multivariate Setting

Conditional distribution, $p(y \mid x) =$

- Chain Rule $p(x, y) = p(y \mid x)p(x)$ • Bayes Rule $p(y \mid x) = \frac{p(x \mid y)p(y)}{p(x)}$
- Law of total probability $p(y) = \sum_{x \in Y} p(y) = \sum_{x \in Y} p(y)$ $x \in \mathcal{X}$
- \bullet

$$= \frac{p(x, y)}{p(x)}, \text{ Marginal } p(y) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} p(x, y)$$

$$p(x \mid y)p(y) = p(x \mid y)p(y)$$

$$\sum_{x} p(y \mid x) p(x)$$

Question: How do you get the law of total probability from the chain rule?

Definition: The expected value of Y conditional on X = x is $\mathbb{E}[Y \mid X = x] = \begin{cases} \sum_{y \in \mathscr{Y}} yp(y \mid x) & \text{if } Y \text{ is discrete,} \\ \int_{\mathscr{Y}} yp(y \mid x) \, dy & \text{if } Y \text{ is continuous.} \end{cases}$

Conditional Expectations

Conditional Expectation Example

- X is the type of a book, 0 for fiction and 1 for non-fiction • p(X = 1) is the proportion of all books that are non-fiction
- Y is the number of pages
 - p(Y = 100) is the proportion of all books with 100 pages
- p(y | X = 0) is different from p(y | X = 1)
- $\mathbb{E}[Y|X=0]$ is different from $\mathbb{E}[Y|X=1]$
 - e.g. $\mathbb{E}[Y|X=0] = 70$ is different from $\mathbb{E}[Y|X=1] = 150$

Conditional Expectation Example (cont)





lacksquare



• $\mathbb{E}[Y|X=0]$ is the expectation over Y under distribution p(y|X=0)• $\mathbb{E}[Y|X=1]$ is the expectation over Y under distribution p(y|X=1)

What if Y is dollars earned?

- Y is now a continuous RV
- What is p(y | x)?

What if Y is dollars earned?

- Y is now a continuous RV
- Notice that p(y|x) is defined by p(y|X=0) and p(y|X=1)

• What might be a reasonable choice for p(y | X = 0) and p(y | X = 1)?

What if Y is dollars earned? • Notice that p(y|x) is defined by p(y|X=0) and p(y|X=1) $P(Y | X = 0) = N(M_{0}, 6_{0}^{2}) \qquad P(Y | X = 1) = N(M_{1}, 6_{0}^{2})$ M = 100 $M_{6} = 300$ Fiction



Non-fiction

- Do you need to know p(x) to specify p(y | x)?

Exercise

• Come up with an example of X and Y, and give possible choice for $p(y \mid x)$

Properties of Expectations

- Linearity of expectation:
 - $\mathbb{E}[cX] = c\mathbb{E}[X]$ for all constant *c*
 - $\mathbb{E}[X + Y] = \mathbb{E}[X] + \mathbb{E}[Y]$
- Products of expectations of independent random variables X, Y:
 - $\mathbb{E}[XY] = \mathbb{E}[X]\mathbb{E}[Y]$
- Law of Total Expectation:

• $\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[Y \mid X\right]\right] = \mathbb{E}[Y]$

Linearity of Expectation for any X and Y $\mathbb{E}[X+Y] = \sum p(x,y)(x+y)$ $x \in \mathcal{X} y \in \mathcal{Y}$ $= \sum p(x, y)x + \sum p(x, y)y$ $x \in \mathcal{X} \ y \in \mathcal{Y} \qquad \qquad x \in \mathcal{X} \ y \in \mathcal{Y}$ $= \sum x \sum p(x, y) + \sum y \sum p(x, y)$ $x \in \mathcal{X} \quad y \in \mathcal{Y} \quad y \in \mathcal{Y} \quad x \in \mathcal{X}$ $= \sum xp(x) + \sum yp(y)$ $x \in \mathcal{X}$ $y \in \mathcal{Y}$ $= \mathbb{E}[X] + \mathbb{E}[Y]$

Properties of Expectations for X and Y independent

 $\mathbb{E}[XY] = \sum p(x, y)xy$ $x \in \mathcal{X} y \in \mathcal{Y}$ $= \sum p(y | x)p(x)xy$ $x \in \mathcal{X} y \in \mathcal{Y}$ $= \sum x p(x) \sum p(y | x) y$ $x \in \mathcal{X}$ $y \in \mathcal{Y}$ $= \sum x p(x) \mathbb{E}[Y|x]$ $x \in \mathcal{X}$ = $\sum xp(x)\mathbb{E}[Y]$ since X and Y independent $x \in \mathcal{X}$ $= \mathbb{E}[X]\mathbb{E}[Y]$

Variance

Definition: The **variance** of a random variable is

i.e., $\mathbb{E}[f(X)]$ where $f(x) = (x - \mathbb{E}[X])^2$. Equivalently,

 $\operatorname{Var}(X) = \mathbb{E}\left[(X - \mathbb{E}[X])^2 \right].$

 $Var(X) = \mathbb{E} \left[X^2 \right] - \left(\mathbb{E}[X] \right)^2$

Covariance

Definition: The **covariance** of two random variables is



Large Negative Covariance

- $Cov(X, Y) = \mathbb{E}\left[(X \mathbb{E}[X])(Y \mathbb{E}[Y])\right]$ $= \mathbb{E}[XY] - \mathbb{E}[X]\mathbb{E}[Y].$

Near Zero Covariance

Large Positive Covariance

- Var[c] = 0 for constant c
- $Var[cX] = c^2 Var[X]$ for constant c
- $\operatorname{Var}[X + Y] = \operatorname{Var}[X] + \operatorname{Var}[Y] + 2\operatorname{Cov}[X, Y]$
- For independent X, Y, because Cov[X, Y] = 0Var[X + Y] = Var[X] + Var[Y]

Properties of Variances

Estimators

Definition: An estimator is a procedure for estimating an unobserved quantity based on data.

Example: Estimating $\mathbb{E}[X]$ for r.v. $X \in \mathbb{R}$.





3.
$$Y = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$$
, for $X_i \sim p$



Independent and Identically Distributed (i.i.d.) Samples

- We usually won't try to estimate anything about a distribution based on only a single sample
- Usually, we use **multiple samples** from the **same distribution** ullet
 - *Multiple samples:* This gives us more information
 - Same distribution: We want to learn about a single population
- One additional condition: the samples must be **independent**

Definition: When a set of random variables are X_1, X_2, \ldots are all (independent and identically distributed), written

 X_1, X

- independent, and each has the same distribution $X \sim F$, we say they are i.i.d.

$$a_2, \ldots \overset{i.i.d.}{\sim} F.$$

Estimating Expected Value via the Sample Mean

 $\mathbb{E}[\bar{X}] = \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i \right]$ $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \stackrel{i.i.d}{\sim} F,$ $= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}[X_i]$ $=\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mu$ $= \frac{1}{n} n \mu$

We want to estimate μ .

Example: We have n i.i.d. samples from the same distribution F, with $\mathbb{E}[X_i] = \mu$ and $\operatorname{Var}(X_i) = \sigma^2$ for each X_i . Let's use the sample mean $\bar{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$ to estimate μ .

 $= \mu$.



Bias

Definition: The **bias** of an estimator X is its expected difference from the true value of the estimated quantity X: $\operatorname{Bias}(\hat{X}) = \mathbb{E}[\hat{X}] - \mathbb{E}[X]$

- Bias can be positive or negative or zero
- When $Bias(\hat{X}) = 0$, we say that the estimator \hat{X} is **unbiased**

Questions:

What is the **bias** of the following estimators of $\mathbb{E}[X]$?

- 1. $Y \sim \text{Uniform}[0, 10]$
- 2. $Y = \mathbb{E}[X] + Z,$ where
 - $Z \sim \text{Uniform}[0,1]$
- 3. $Y = \mathbb{E}[X] + Z,$ where $Z \sim N(0, 100^2)$

4.
$$Y = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$$



Variance of the Estimator

- Intuitively, more samples should make the estimator "closer" to the estimated quantity
- We can formalize this intuition partly by characterizing the variance $Var[\hat{X}]$ of the estimator itself.
 - The variance of the estimator should decrease as the number of samples increases
- **Example:** \overline{X} for estimating μ :
 - The variance of the estimator shrinks linearly as the number of samples grows.



Concentration Inequalities

- We would like to be able to claim Pr for some $\delta, \epsilon > 0$
- $\operatorname{Var}[\bar{X}] = \frac{1}{n} \sigma^2$ means that with "enough" data, $\operatorname{Pr}\left(\left|\bar{X} \mu\right| < \epsilon\right) > 1 \delta$ for any $\delta, \epsilon > 0$ that we pick

• **Question:** What is
$$\Pr\left(\left|\bar{X} - \mu\right| < \right)$$

$$\left(\left|\bar{X}-\mu\right| < \epsilon\right) > 1 - \delta$$

• Suppose we have n = 10 samples, and we know $\sigma^2 = 81$; so $Var[\bar{X}] = 8.1$.

Variance Is Not Enough

Knowing $\operatorname{Var}[\overline{X}] = 8.1$ is **not enough** to compute $\Pr(|\overline{X} - \mu| < 2)!$ **Examples:**

$$p(\bar{x}) = \begin{cases} 0.9 & \text{if } \bar{x} = \mu \\ 0.05 & \text{if } \bar{x} = \mu \pm 9 \end{cases} \Longrightarrow$$
$$p(\bar{x}) = \begin{cases} 0.999 & \text{if } \bar{x} = \mu \\ 0.0005 & \text{if } \bar{x} = \mu \pm 90 \end{cases} \Longrightarrow$$
$$p(\bar{x}) = \begin{cases} 0.1 & \text{if } \bar{x} = \mu \\ 0.45 & \text{if } \bar{x} = \mu \pm 3 \end{cases} \Longrightarrow$$

- $Var[\bar{X}] = 8.1$ and $Pr(|\bar{X} \mu| < 2) = 0.9$
- Var $[\bar{X}] = 8.1$ and Pr $(|\bar{X} \mu| < 2) = 0.999$
- $Var[\bar{X}] = 8.1$ and $Pr(|\bar{X} \mu| < 2) = 0.1$

Hoeffding's Inequality

Theorem: Hoeffding's Inequality Suppose that X_1, \ldots, X_n are distributed i.i.d, with $a \leq X_i \leq b$. Then for any $\epsilon > 0$, $\Pr\left(\left|\bar{X} - \mathbb{E}[\bar{X}]\right| \ge \epsilon\right)$ Equivalently, $\Pr\left(\left|\bar{X} - \mathbb{E}[\bar{X}]\right| \le (k)\right)$

$$b(x) \le 2 \exp\left(-\frac{2n\epsilon^2}{(b-a)^2}\right).$$
$$b(x) = b - a \sqrt{\frac{\ln(2/\delta)}{2n}} \ge 1 - \delta$$

Chebyshev's Inequality

Theorem: Chebyshev's Inequality Suppose that X_1, \ldots, X_n are distributed i.i.d. with variance σ^2 . Then for any $\epsilon > 0$, $\Pr\left(\left|\bar{X}-\mathbb{E}\right|\right)$ Equivalently, $\Pr \left| \left| \bar{X} - \mathbb{E}[\bar{X}] \right| \le \sqrt{1}$

$$\left| \frac{\bar{X}}{\bar{X}} \right| \ge \epsilon \right) \le \frac{\sigma^2}{n\epsilon^2}$$
$$\left| \frac{\sigma^2}{\delta n} \right| \ge 1 - \delta.$$

When to Use Chebyshev, When to Use Hoeffding?

- If $a \le X_i \le b$, then $\operatorname{Var}[X_i] \le \frac{1}{4}(b-a)^2$
- Chebyshev's inequality gives $\epsilon = \sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2}{\delta n}} \le \sqrt{\frac{(b-a)^2}{4\delta n}} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\delta}}(b-a)\sqrt{\frac{1}{n}}$
- variables

* whenever
$$\sqrt{\frac{\ln(2/\delta)}{2}} < \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\delta}} \iff$$

• Chebyshev's inequality can be applied even for unbounded variables



Hoeffding's inequality gives a tighter bound*, but it can only be used on bounded random

 $\delta < \sim 0.232$

Sample Complexity

Definition:

The sample complexity of an estimator is the number of samples required to guarantee an expected error of at most ϵ with probability $1 - \delta$, for given δ and ϵ .

- We want sample complexity to be small lacksquare
- Sample complexity is determined by:
 - 1. The **estimator** itself
 - Smarter estimators can sometimes improve sample complexity
 - 2. Properties of the data generating process
 - lacksquare
 - lacksquarecorrect value

If the data are high-variance, we need more samples for an accurate estimate But we can reduce the sample complexity if we can bias our estimate toward the

Sample Complexity

Definition:

of at most ϵ with probability $1 - \delta$, for given δ and ϵ .

For $\delta = 0.05$, **Chebyshev** gives

$$\epsilon = \sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2}{\delta n}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{0.05}} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$$
$$\iff \epsilon = 4.47 \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$$
$$\iff \sqrt{n} = 4.47 \frac{\sigma}{\epsilon}$$
$$\iff n = 19.98 \frac{\sigma^2}{\epsilon^2}$$

The sample complexity of an estimator is the number of samples required to guarantee an expected error

With Gaussian assumption and $\delta = 0.05$,

$$\epsilon = 1.96 \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$$

$$\iff \sqrt{n} = 1.96 \frac{\sigma}{\epsilon}$$

$$\iff n = 3.84 \frac{\sigma^2}{\epsilon^2}$$



Mean-Squared Error

- **Bias:** whether an estimator is correct in expectation
- Consistency: whether an estimator is correct in the limit of infinite data
- Convergence rate: how fast the estimator approaches its own mean
 - For an unbiased estimator, this is also how fast its error bounds shrink
- We don't necessarily care about an estimator's being unbiased.
 - Often, what we care about is our estimator's accuracy in expectation

Definition: Mean squared error of an



estimator
$$\hat{X}$$
 of a quantity X :

$$\mathbb{E}\left[(\hat{X} - \mathbb{E}[X])^2\right]$$

different!

Bias-Variance Tradeoff

$MSE(\hat{X}) = Var[\hat{X}] + Bias(\hat{X})^2$

- If we can decrease bias without increasing variance, error goes down
- If we can decrease variance without increasing bias, error goes down
- Question: Would we ever want to increase bias?
- YES. If we can increase (squared) bias in a way that decreases variance more, then error goes down!
 - Interpretation: Biasing the estimator toward values that are more likely to be true (based on prior information)

Downward-biased Mean Estimation **Example:** Let's estimate μ given i.i.d X_1, \ldots, X_n with $\mathbb{E}[X_i] = \mu$ using: $Y = \frac{1}{n+100} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ This estimator has **low variance**: $\operatorname{Var}(Y) = \operatorname{Var} \left| \frac{1}{n+100} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i \right|$ $= \frac{1}{n+100} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}[X_i]$ $= \frac{1}{(n+100)^2} \operatorname{Var} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i \right|$ $= \frac{1}{(n+100)^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \text{Var}[X_i]$ $= \frac{1}{n+100} \mu$ Bias(Y) = $\frac{n}{n+100}\mu - \mu = \frac{-100}{n+100}\mu$ $=\frac{n}{(n+100)^2}\sigma^2$

This estimator is **biased**:



Estimating µ Near 0

Example: Suppose that $\sigma = 1$, n = 10, and $\mu = 0.1$

 $\operatorname{Bias}(\bar{X}) = 0$

$$MSE(\bar{X}) = Var(\bar{X}) + Bias(\bar{X})^{2}$$
$$= Var(\bar{X}) \quad Var(\bar{X}) = \frac{\sigma^{2}}{n}$$
$$= \frac{1}{10}$$

 $MSE(Y) = Var(Y) + Bias(Y)^2$

$$= \frac{n}{(n+100)^2} \sigma^2 + \left(\frac{100}{n+100}\mu\right)^2$$
$$= \frac{10}{110^2} + \left(\frac{100}{110}0.1\right)^2$$
$$\approx 9 \times 10^{-4}$$



Summary

- Concentration inequalities let us bound the probability of a given estimator being at least ϵ from the estimated quantity
- Sample complexity is the number of samples needed to attain a desired error bound ϵ at a desired probability $1-\delta$
- The mean squared error of an estimator decomposes into bias (squared) and variance
- Using a **biased** estimator can have **lower error** than an unbiased estimator
 - Bias the estimator based some prior information
 - But this only helps if the prior information is correct, cannot reduce error by adding in arbitrary bias